UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,388	08/21/2003	Edward James Norris	03-8005	8100

25537   7590   04/23/2009

VERIZON
PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP
1320 North Court House Road
9th Floor
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909

EXAMINER
PATEL, CHANDRAHAS B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2416	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE *3* MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *16 March 2009*.
2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☒ This action is non-final.
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *2-11,16,18-28,33-43,48-50 and 52* is/are pending in the application.
  4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
6)☒ Claim(s) *2-11, 16, 18-28, 33-43, 48-50, 52* is/are rejected.
7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.
  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
    1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
    3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
  Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
  Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1.   A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on

3/16/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2.   The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

3.   Claims 2-5, 7, 10, 11, 16, 18-22, 24, 27, 28, 33-37, 39, 42, 43, 48-50, 52 are

rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ocepek et al. (USPN

7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings et al. (USPN

6,580,712).

      Regarding claim 2, Ocepek teaches a method for detecting a wireless access

device on a network **[Col. 5, lines 14-15]**, the method comprising: storing one or more

organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the**

device]; receiving from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is**

an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-

40]; determining an operating system associated with the received address, when

comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and

at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; comparing the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]**; and indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55]**.

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address; organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses; searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of

one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; comparing the first three

octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address

includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three**

octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they

are matched to a database]. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered

predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU**

stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as

only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can

be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col.**

2, lines 16-20] and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless

device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which

enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store

such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance

of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claims 3, 20, 35, Ocepek teaches receiving the address with

information identifying a source of a packet **[Col. 8, lines 57-59]**.

Regarding claims 4, 21, 36, Ocepek teaches using an organizationally unique

identifier as the information identifying the source **[Col. 8, lines 57-59, MAC address'**

first portion has organizationally unique identifier].

Regarding claims 5, 22, 37, Ocepek teaches receiving the address based on passively monitoring the network **[Col. 5, lines 22-26]**.

Regarding claims 7, 24, 39, Ocepek teaches determining whether a first organizationally unique identifier of the address is similar to a second organizationally unique identifier of at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address has an organizational unique identifier in its first portion]**.

Regarding claims 10, 27, 42, Ocepek teaches indicating the wireless access device is not authorized on the network **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, a Null value of MAC address indicates the wireless device is not authorized yet]**.

Regarding claims 11, 28, 43, Ocepek teaches storing the one or more registered addresses, such that the one or more registered addresses are searchable **[Fig. 11, Col. 10, lines 49-51]**.

Regarding claims 16, 33, 48, Jennings teaches storing the stored operating system, such that a more frequently encountered stored operating system is searched before a less frequently encountered stored operating system **[Col. 5, lines 50-52, MAC address can be used to determine operating system which is well-know in the art and applicant discusses this in his specification also and by storing the MAC addresses that are accessed most, the stored operating system will be stored in a such a way also]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 18, Ocepek teaches a system for detecting a wireless access

device on a network **[Col. 5, lines 14-15]**, the method comprising: means for storing

one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which**

identify the device]; means for receiving from the network a packet with an address

[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as

stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]; means for determining an operating system associated

with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match

between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8,**

lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col.

7, lines 43-44]; means for comparing the determined operating system with one or

more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems

corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine**

and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC

address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned

particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]; and

means for indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the

determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems

[Col. 7, lines 43-55].

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the

device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not

teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address;

organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more

registered addresses; means for searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; means for comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; means for comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store

such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance

of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 19, Ocepek teaches a system for detecting a device on a

network **[Abstract]**, said system comprising: at least one memory **[Fig. 7, 102, Col. 7,**

lines 60-62] comprising: code that stores one or more organizationally unique identifiers

[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]; code that receives from

the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which**

is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]; code that determines

an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the

received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of

the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to**

determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]; code that compares the determined

operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of

the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC**

address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col.

7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific

manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant

discussed in his application]; and code that indicates that the received packet

corresponds to the device when the determined operating system matches at least one

of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55]**.

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the

device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not

teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address;

organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more

registered addresses; code that searches the identifiers, wherein a more frequently

encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; code that

compares the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if

the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since**

WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless

device] and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets

of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of

one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; code that compares the first

three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received

address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first**

three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since

they are matched to a database]. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered

predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU**

stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as

only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can

be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col.**

2, lines 16-20] and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless

device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which

enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store

such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance

of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

 Regarding claim 34, Ocepek teaches a computer program product, tangibly

embodied in a computer-readable storage medium for detecting a device on a network

[Fig. 7, 102], the computer program product comprising: code that stores one or more

organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the**

device]; code that receives from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source**

field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7,

lines 39-40]; code that determines an operating system associated with the received

address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received

address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC**

address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]; code that

compares the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems,

such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col.**

8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system

as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating

system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC

addresses as applicant discussed in his application]; and code that indicates that

the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined operating system

matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines 43-55]**.

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the
device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not
teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address;
organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more
registered addresses; code that searches the identifiers, wherein a more frequently
encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; code that
compares the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if
the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since
WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless
device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets
of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of
one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; code that compares the first
three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received
address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first
three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since
they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered
predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU
stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as
only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can

be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 49, Ocepek teaches a system comprising: a network **[Fig. 7, 12]**; and a processor connected to the network, the processor comprising: means for storing one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; means for receiving from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; means for determining an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col. 7, lines 43-44]**; means for comparing the determined operating system with one or more stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]**; and means for indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the

determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems
[Col. 7, lines 43-55].

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the
device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not
teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address;
organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more
registered addresses; means for searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently
encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; means for
comparing the first three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if
the received address includes one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since
WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless
device]** and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets
of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of
one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; means for comparing the first
three octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received
address includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first
three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since
they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered
predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU
stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as
only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can

be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col.**

2, lines 16-20] and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless

device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which

enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store

such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance

of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 50, Ocepek teaches a system comprising: a network **[Fig. 1,**

12]; a first processor interfaced to the network **[Fig. 1, 24, Col. 4, lines 57-60, client**

device has a processor since it performs the task described in above mentioned

lines]; and a second processor interfaced to the network, wherein the second processor

receives one or more packets, with an address, from the network and the first processor

[Fig. 7, 134, Fig. 7 is a diagram of security device 10], the second processor: stores

one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which**

identify the device]; receives a packet with an address from the first processor via the

network **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security**

device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]; determines an operating system associated

with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a match

between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 8,**

lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system Col.

7, lines 43-44]; compares the determined operating system with one or more stored

operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems corresponds

to an operating system of the first processor **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is**

used to determine and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-

44, each MAC address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures

are assigned particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his

application]; and indicates that the first processor corresponds to the device when the

determined operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems

[Col. 7, lines 43-55].

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the

device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not

teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address;

organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more

registered addresses; searches the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered

predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; compares the first three octets

of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes

one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since**

WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless

device] and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets

of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of

one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; compares the first three octets

of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes

one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col. 2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

Regarding claim 52, Ocepek teaches a computer program product, tangibly embodied in a computer-retable storage medium, for detecting a device on a network and containing instructions which, when executed on a processor, perform a method **[Fig. 7, 102]** comprising: storing one or more organizationally unique identifiers **[Fig. 11, stores MAC addresses which identify the device]**; receiving from the network a packet with an address **[Fig. 6, Source field is an address field which is received by security device as stated in Col. 7, lines 39-40]**; determining an operating system associated with the received address, when comparing the received address results in a

match between the received address and at least one of the registered addresses **[Col.**

8, lines 59-63, source MAC address can be used to determine operating system

Col. 7, lines 43-44]; comparing the determined operating system with one or more

stored operating systems, such that at least one of the stored operating systems

corresponds to the device **[Col. 8, lines 63-65, MAC address is used to determine**

and compare operating system as discussed in Col. 7, lines 43-44, each MAC

address can tell the operating system since specific manufactures are assigned

particular series of MAC addresses as applicant discussed in his application]; and

indicating that the received packet corresponds to the device when the determined

operating system matches at least one of the stored operating systems **[Col. 7, lines**

43-55].

However, Ocepek does not teach in Col. 7, lines 43-55 does not teach that the

device is a wireless access device rather teaches the device is a server and does not

teach determining a wireless device based on the first three octets of the address;

organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of one or more

registered addresses; searching the identifiers, wherein a more frequently encountered

predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first; comparing the first three octets

of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address includes

one of the stored identifiers.

Ocepek teaches the device can be wireless access device **[Fig. 1, 20, since**

WAP has a MAC address the same concept can be used to identify a wireless

device] and Gray teaches determining a wireless device based on the first three octets

of the address and organizationally unique identifiers comprise the first three octets of

one or more registered addresses **[Col. 14, lines 12-25]**; comparing the first three

octets of the received address with the identifiers determining if the received address

includes one of the stored identifiers **[Col 14, lines 12-25, compares the first three

octets to determine if access point matches and identifiers are stored since they

are matched to a database]**. Jennings teaches a more frequently encountered

predetermined percentage of identifiers is searched first **[Col. 5, lines 50-55, LRU

stores percentage of total look-ups in the LRU engine, this is predetermined as

only the most frequently looked up MAC addresses are stored in LRU engine]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to have a check for the wireless access device so that intruder can

be prevented from gaining access to internal server and servers can be protected **[Col.

2, lines 16-20]** and to match the first three octets to determine if the device is a wireless

device since first three octets can identify WLAN manufacturer of the device which

enables to determine if the device is a WLAN device **[Col. 14, lines 12-15]** and to store

such that more frequently used identifiers are searched first to improve the performance

of searching **[Col. 5, lines 47-48]**.

4.   Claims 6, 23, 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ocepek et al. (USPN 7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings

et al. (USPN 6,580,712) and Moran (USPN 6,009,423).

Regarding claims 6, 23, 38, the references teach a method, a system and a computer program product as discussed in rejection of claim 2, 19, 34 respectively.

However, the references do not teach comparing the address further comprises: determining whether a portion of the address is similar to a portion of at least one of the registered addresses.

Moran teaches comparing based on determination of whether a portion of the address is similar to a portion of at least one of the registered addresses **[Col. 4, lines 27-34]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to match only a portion of the address so that only small search tables would have to be searched **[Col. 4, lines 56-67 – Col. 5, lines 1-2]**.

5.   Claims 8, 25, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ocepek et al. (USPN 7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings et al. (USPN 6,580,712) and Lausier (USPN 7,174,373).

Regarding claims 8, 25, 40, the references teach a method, a system and a computer program product as discussed in rejection of claim 2, 19, 34 respectively.

However, the references do not teach determining the operating system at the IP address associated with the address.

Lausier teaches determining the operating system at the IP address associated with the address **[Col. 29, lines 20-25]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to determine the operating system associated with the IP address
so that components of IP address can be assigned to a specific server **[Col. 29, lines
43-48]**.

6.   Claims 9, 26, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Ocepek et al. (USPN 7,124,197) in view of Gray et al. (USPN 7,295,524) and Jennings
et al. (USPN 6,580,712) and Lausier (USPN 7,174,373) as applied to claim 8 above,
and further in view of Tarquini et al. (USPG-PUB 2003/0101353).

Regarding claims 9, 26, 41, the references a method, a system and a computer
program product as discussed in rejection of claims 8, 25, 34 respectively.

However, the references do not teach determining the operating system using an
nmap.

Tarquini teaches determining the operating system using an nmap **[Page 7-8,
Paragraph 45]**.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the
invention was made to determine the operating system using nmap so that it could be
determined what operating system is a device running **[Page 3, Paragraph 13]**.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Chandrahas Patel whose telephone number is

(571)270-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 7:30 to 17:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ricky Ngo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2416

/Chandrahas Patel/
Examiner, Art Unit 2416